

An Incomplete List of Possible Progressive Reforms in Corporate Governance

By Kent Greenfield (kent.greenfield@bc.edu)

This document was presented at the Boston Workshop in May 2004. Much of the content is relevant to the upcoming San Francisco Workshop.

1. Dismantle Shareholder Supremacy

- * The law now: “A [] corp. is organized and carried on primarily for the profit of the stockholders. The powers of the directors are to be employed for that end.”
- * The richest 10% of Americans own 90% of stocks; the wealthiest 20% own 98%; shareholder supremacy thus is a policy that benefits the rich at the expense of other stakeholders (primarily workers).
- * Workers and other stakeholders largely ignored in corporate law.
- * Change could occur through stakeholder boards or broadening fiduciary duties.
- * Other countries require workers on boards of directors.

2. End Delaware’s Dominance

- * Delaware’s population: less than 1/3% of nation; but state of incorporation of > 50% of U.S. public companies and > 60% of the Fortune 500.
- * 300 largest DE corps employ > 15 million employees.
- * E.g., Wal-Mart alone employs over 50% more people than live in DE.
- * Change could come either by way of federalizing corp charters or weakening “internal affairs” doctrine.

3. Pass Anti-Fraud Laws for Employees

- * Huge legal regime to protect shareholders from untruthfulness
- * No federal law makes it illegal for corps to lie to employees.
- * Truth is at least as important in labor market as in securities market.

4. Limit Limited Liability

- * LL increases incentives for risky behavior (moral hazard problem).
- * LL effects transfer of wealth from victims of corporate torts to shareholders.
- * Corps routinely organize themselves to isolate risky activities in wholly owned subsidiaries.

5. Link CEO Pay to Worker Pay

- * 20 years ago, pay for CEOs was < 30x of avg worker. Now, typical CEO makes > 400 x of avg worker.
- * CEO compensation rose 600% between 1990 and 2000.
- * CEO pay could be capped at ratio to avg worker pay.

6. Stop Corporate Illegalities

- * Conservative theorists argue that corps should break the law if exp. cost is less than exp. benefit. Fishel and Easterbrook: “Managers have no general obligation to obey regulatory laws, when violations are profitable to the firm.”
- * By some accounts, corp crime on the rise.
- * One idea: shareholder activists using ultra vires doctrine to enjoin corp crime.

7. End Differences Between Tax Accounting and In-House Accounting

- * Corps issue dividends in years when they don’t report profits for tax purposes.
- * One idea: same profits must be reported to both shareholders and IRS.